

(ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.)

ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಚ್. ತಮ್ಮಯ್ಯ.—ಮಿರ್ದೇ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಸಾಲ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಐದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅವರು ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಚ್. ತಮ್ಮಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಸಾಲ ಬೇಡ, ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನೀರಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಸಾಲದ ರೂಪವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Government Buildings in Corporation Area (Licences to build).

Q.—396. Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether it is necessary that they should obtain permission and sanction of plans for construction of Government buildings in the Corporation area ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

No, when Government construct buildings in its sovereign capacity.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Was there at any time any discussion in the Corporation regarding obtaining licences for Government buildings ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Government are not aware of it.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Is it not proper for the Government to consult the Corporation before they execute any buildings ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is not necessary.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Sir, in view of the fact that too many buildings are unevenly shaped, as we see just before Vidhan Soudha, Telephone Exchange and Accountant General's Office, all in a confused manner, would it not be better for the Government hereafter to consult the Corporation ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—No argument is permitted.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Who is the authority that gives the plan for constructing these Government buildings ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Government Architect.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Who is to scrutinise these plans ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Chief Engineer.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Who is to scrutinise the plan of the buildings that the Central Government construct in this State ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—If the buildings belong to Central Government, they have got their own officers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—These supplementaries have gone beyond the scope of the question.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is there any provision in the Corporation Act that the Government will have to obtain licence before a building is constructed by them ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There is no such provision.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not high time that a suitable provision is made in this regard ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The construction of a building which is necessary for the due performance of the executive functions of the State under the Constitution must be regarded as a right conferred by the Constitution. This right cannot be curtailed or controlled by the Town Municipalities Act or the Corporation Act. Government need not obtain permission of the Corporation for constructing Government buildings.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not better at least in future that the Government consult the Corporation before they take up a building for construction ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As I said, this is unnecessary. Corporation is being kept informed of constructions of Government buildings in the Corporation limits.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions Nos. 24, 107 and 249 may be taken up together.

Excise smuggling cases.

i.e., from January 1954 to January 1955;

Q.—24. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

(b) out of which, how many cases resulted in conviction;

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(c) out of the cases, the fine recovered up to the end of January 1955;

(a) the number of cases of smuggling arrack, toddy, brandy, ganja and opium detected up-to-date in the State,

(d) and in how many cases the cases were proved otherwise, and the reasons for their failure (figures should be furnished districtwar)?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a)—

Wet Districts				Dry Districts										
Bangalore	Mysore	Mandya	Hassan	Tumkur	Hassan	Kolar and K.G.F.	Chikmagalur	Chitaldrug	Shimoga	Bellary	Bangalore	Railways	Total	
6	13	...	6	28	121	94	6	115	206	65	7	10	...	
2	1	4	5	135	103	111	15	7	18	11	163	4	...	
...	7	15	2	7	2	...	2	...	48	...	
4	4	...	2	109	13	43	21	47	41	38	...	10	...	
...	1	3	...	3	...	
12	18	4	13	279	252	251	49	171	265	119	170	75	1,678	

(b)—

Arrack	5	13	...	3	28	102	66	6	95	200	60	6	10	...
Toddy	2	1	...	4	130	90	88	15	7	8	11	156	4	...
Brandy	7	10	2	7	2	...	2	...	37	...
Ganja	3	4	...	2	105	12	37	15	41	40	30	...	8	...
Opium	1	2	...	2	...
...	10	18	...	9	270	214	194	43	145	248	105	162	61	1,479

(c)—

...	Rs. 528	80	15	65	3,745	5,019	5,723	345	3,439	3,400	1,799	3,040	1,050	28,248
			*	**										†

(d) ... 2 1 9 38 57 6 26 17 14 8 14 192

Reasons for their failure (acquittal or discharge) in the several cases in the court are due to (1) discrepant evidence in Court, (2) prosecution witnesses turning hostile, (3) benefit of doubt, (4) under Section 245, Cr.P.C.

*Departmental fine in one case.

**Departmental fine in three cases.

†Includes (4) cases dealt departmentally in Wet Districts of Mandya and Hassan.

Q.-107. Srimathi B. L. SUBBAMMA
(Chikmagalur-Mudigere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) (i) the number of cases launched in Chikmagalur District ever since the introduction of prohibition ;

(ii) the amount of fine levied in this behalf ;

(iii) the number of persons punished ; (yearwar details to be given) ;

(b) whether it has come to their notice that due to the use of illicitly manufactured liquor people are subjected to various kinds of diseases ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) (i) 7,381 cases.

(ii) Rs. 1,58,033

1949-50.

1950-51.

(iii) S. I.
R. I.	1	20
Fines	47	706

1951-52.

1952-53.

S. I.	1	...
R. I.	19	193
Fines	1,269	1,626

1953-54.

1954.

S. I.	19	21
R. I.	60	178
Fines	1,594	1,655

(b) No.

Q.—249. Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS
(Nominated).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of prohibition offences detected during the last 3 years in each of the "dry" districts ;

(b) the amount of fines realised thereon districtwar ;

(c) the cost of Prohibition Staff in each of these districts ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

Names of Dry Districts.

Kolar	K.G.F.	Bangalore District (2 Taluks)	Tumkur	Chitaldrug	Chikmagalur	Hassan (4 Taluks)	Shimoga	Bellary from 1-10-1953 to 1-1-1955	Railways	C.I.B. (Chief Office)
(a) 5,009	6,459	1,049	4,358	4,916	5,455	1,600	9,656	6,631	479	...
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(b) 1,10,322	85,766	13,686	41,866	1,14,197	1,33,61	21,663	1,53,577	66,441	9,492	...
(c) 1,22,123	67,702	29,080	1,91,923	1,37,033	82,312	22,067	1,29,677	1,59,913	...	66,431

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಸನ ಮತ್ತು ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಬಹುಶಃ ಅರಿತಿ illicit distillation ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಜನಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ದುಡಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಡಿಯದ ಇರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಎಷ್ಟಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬಲ್ಲರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ notice ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—28,248 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಜುರ್ಮಾನೆ ರೂಪದಲ್ಲಿ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಆದಾಯ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬರಲೆಂದು ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೂಡಿದಾಗ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ನಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಷ್ಟು ವಸೂಲು ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ.—1949 ರಿಂದ ಆಗಿರುವ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಮತ್ತು ಜುರ್ಮಾನೆ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಪಾನನೀರೋದ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಅಷ್ಟು ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ.—ಜನಗಳು ಬಾಯಿಗೆ ಬೇಳುತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ, ಕಳ್ಳಭಟ್ಟ ಸಾರಾಯಿಯಿಂದ ಎಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ತರೀಕರೆ, ಲಕ್ಕಿವಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲ ಕುಡಿದು ಅನೇಕರು ಸಾಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಒಂದು resolution ಮಾಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ.—ಮಲೆನಾಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರಾಪದ್ಧತಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಕೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಿ. ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ.—ಪಾನಿನಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಾದ್ಯಂತ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಅದ್ವೈತ ಬಂದು policyಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಅದನ್ನು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—May I know whether during the last year the incidence of detected crime has been on the increase or on the decline?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—They are on the increase.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—What steps have the Government taken to assess the working of prohibition in the dry districts?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Intensive propaganda is being carried on in this behalf. Public Co-operation is also forthcoming to some extent. The Prohibition Staff is vigilant and is falling action to detect cases of illicit distillation and to get the offenders suitably punished.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—I do not want to know what steps they have taken to prevent. But I want to know what steps they have taken to assess the working of Prohibition?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—With a view to carry on intensive propaganda, we have appointed a Committee.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—Propaganda will not help in assessing the working of the Prohibition. I want to know what steps have the Government taken to assess the failure or success of Prohibition.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We have not appointed any such Committee. We are awaiting the report of the Agarwal Committee in this behalf.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—If no steps are taken to assess the working of Prohibition, then what opinion are the Government going to offer to the Agarwal Committee when it visits this State?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We are awaiting the recommendations of Agarwal Committee.

Sri SYDNEY A. THOMAS.—Agarwal Committee will elicit the opinion of the State Government regarding the working of the Prohibition and then form its own recommendations. So, I want to know what steps have been

taken by the Government to assess the working of Prohibition in the State so that they may place their opinion before the Agarwal Committee?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We feel that there is no necessity at present to take any steps to assess the results. We will only await the recommendations of the Agarwal Committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಕೆಲವು ಊರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು illicit distillation ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೊಂದು ಕೇಸು ಹಾಕಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಕಮ್ಮಿ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ನಾಮಕಾ ವಾಸ್ತವ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಇದನ್ನು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂ.—ಅಗ್ರಾರ್‌ವಾರ್ ಕಮಿಷಿಯವರೇನಾದರೂ ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೊಹಿಬಿಷನ್ ಯಶಸ್ವಿಯಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಕೇಳಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂ.—ಅವರೇನಾದರೂ investigate ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಜನರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಅವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Shall I presume that the Government of Mysore have not sent their opinion regarding the working of Prohibition till now?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We have been sending annual report regarding the working of Prohibition in the State.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Have the Government till now definitely sent their opinion regarding the working of the Prohibition to the Agarwal Committee.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We have not sent as yet.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—In view of that, is it not necessary that the Government should investigate and find out the success or otherwise of the Prohibition policy?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is too early for us to take up this work and assess the result of Prohibition.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂ.—“ಕಳ್ಳ ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಸಾರಾಯ ಕುಡಿದು ನಾನಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ರೋಗಗಳಿಂದ ಜನಗಳು ನರಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿದೆಯೇ” ಎಂಬದಕ್ಕೆ ‘ಇಲ್ಲ’ ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

Is it not obligatory on the part of Government to find out how far the health of the public has been ruined as a result of Prohibition; are the Government going to take up this question?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Government have not received any report to the effect that the health of the public has deteriorated. Therefore, there is no necessity to examine the question.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not necessary that the Government should take steps to investigate how far this illicit liquor has affected or ruined the health of the public? Will the Government take steps to assess this?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Well, we have not received complaints from the public to the effect that the health of the people has deteriorated due to drinking of illicit liquor. Therefore Government have not moved in the matter.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—You can take my question as a representation from the public and take suitable action.

(No answer)

Tappers and vendors affected by Prohibition.

Q.—134. Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) number of persons or families of tappers and vendors of toddy who have been affected after the introduction of Prohibition in some parts of the State;

(b) whether there is any agitation from those people to get alternate means of livelihood;

(c) if so, the action taken by the Government to help them?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Number of tappers affected ... About 3,987.
Number of toddy vendors affected ... About 1,360.

(b) No.

(c) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (b).

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Sir has it come to the notice of Government that about 5,347 persons who may be called as Prohibition sufferers were entirely depending upon tapping for their sustenance?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, Sir. Previously they were depending upon tapping.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is it not a fact that these people have submitted a memorandum to the Backward Class Commission and a copy of it has been submitted to this Government?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We have not received any report so far.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Have they made any provision in the Prohibition scheme to provide alternative means for the livelihood of these people?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Government have not prepared any scheme in this behalf.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ಕಳ್ಳಬಟ್ಟೆ ಸಾರಾಯ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ರಸ್ತೆ ಅಪರಂಧ ‘ಮಾಮೂಲು’ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು, ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡದಿರುವವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕ್ರೇಸ್ ಹಾಕುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question.

Prohibition in Malavalli Taluk.

Q.—225. Sri M. CHIKKALINGIAH (Malavalli—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether representations have been made to Government to introduce prohibition in Malavalli Taluk;

(b) whether it is not a fact that this Taluk is a border Taluk to Madras and Andhra States where prohibition is working;

(c) the action taken by State Government in this behalf?